

Date: Sun, 12 Dec 93 04:30:13 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #523
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sun, 12 Dec 93 Volume 93 : Issue 523

Today's Topics:

ARRL's callsign admin position
Can my wife transmit?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 10 Dec 1993 07:44:45 GMT
From: pacbell.com!sgiblab!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!uuneo!sugar!
rcoyle@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL's callsign admin position
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <2e51uo\$q16@chnews.intel.com>, jlbromley@sedona.intel.com (Jim Bromley,
W5GYJ) writes:

>
> *TWO* THE AMATEUR IS LOYAL . . . He owes his amateur radio to the
> American Radio Relay League, and he offers it his unswerving
> loyalty.

You MUST be kidding.

--Robert
--

| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
| not make messes in the house. |

Date: Fri, 10 Dec 1993 15:26:28 GMT
From: spsgate!mogate!newsgate!news@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Can my wife transmit?
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <1993Dec9.200425.24723@mixcom.mixcom.com> kevin.jessup
<kevin.jessup@mixcom.mixcom.com> writes:
> ... stuff about wife & kid in threatening situation...

My reaction to this would be WHO CARES what the FCC, etc. will do? If someone's life is in danger the other stuff isn't all that big of a consideration. I'd certainly rather have a nasty-gram from the FCC than a tragedy.

I think it's a good idea that spouses and kids have some idea how to use the radio, just for cases like this. In fact, one of the local ham clubs gave a seminar at one of the recent hamfests just for kids & spouses about how to use the radio in an emergency.

Of course, if you can convince your spouse/kid to get his/her own ticket you wouldn't have to worry as much about this kind of thing. The Tech license is pretty easy to get esp. with the study aids that are available.

My \$0.02 worth, anyway.

73.... Mark AA7TA

Date: 8 Dec 93 00:00:35 GMT
From: munnari.oz.au!metro!news.ci.com.au!eram!dave@uunet.uu.net
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <931122.79839.EDELLERS@delphi.com>,
<1993Nov23.143333.23353@cs.brown.edu>, <931123.62934.EDELLERS@delphi.com>z.a
Subject : Re: No Code etc...

In article <931123.62934.EDELLERS@delphi.com>,
EDELLERS@delphi.com (Ed Ellers) writes:

| Is it polite to force the other users to download all that old text
| before they can see your reply?

Yes; there are thousands of people all over the world reading this; it would be nice to know to which message you are referring...

| BTW, Delphi has TWO newsreaders -- one called NN, and a new one. I
| gave up on NN (which allows replies to be added to a message) because
| its VT100-based commands didn't work worth a darn over the (commercial)
| packet network. The new one, which Delphi apparently did in-house,
| works a lot like Delphi's own forums do -- you can type PREV to see the
| message being replied to.

In other words, Delphi's in-house reader is broken, and you want us to
compensate for its deficiencies.

| Once again, I shouldn't have to spew out somebody else's text because
| other newsreaders are poorly designed.

Sigh... Into the killfile you go. ``EDELLERS@delphi.com'', meet
``robert@amanda.jpunix.com''. Robert, meet Ed.

--

Dave Horsfall (VK2KFU) VK2KFU @ VK2RWI.NSW.AUS.OC PGP 2.3
dave@esi.COM.AU ...munnar!esi.COM.AU!dave available

Date: 10 Dec 1993 09:35:51 GMT
From: ghost.dsi.unimi.it!univ-lyon1.fr!elendir@tcgould.tn.cornell.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2dfoa2\$68d@hpscit.sc.hp.com>,
<1993Dec1.001017.936@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com>, <2dnps0\$511@hpscit.sc.hp.com>
Subject : Re: Why isn't Amateur Radio like CB?

Garry Howard (garhow@hpubmaa.esr.HP.COM) wrote:

: In article <1993Dec1.001017.936@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com>,
kevin@TorreyPinesCA.ncr.com (Kevin Sanders) writes:

: |> It is the sheer number of people operating in the CB bands that have made
: |> them what they are. In any cross-section of society you are going to have
: |> some number of nitwits. The larger the sample, the larger the number of
: |> nitwits. During the CB boom, the number of users of CB increased extremely
: You are absolutely right on this point!!

I confirm. In France, the situation is quite the same.

2 millions of people have a CB, whereas we are only 20000 OMs.

(As a reference, French population is about 55 millions people, therefore
CB users are about 3 % of the whole, and radioamateurs about 0.03 %)

Another point I remarked while doing SWL, the age of the radioams seems to
be quite higher than those of Cbers. Whereas you find many young guys on the
CB bands and but a few "full adults", the proportions seems to be reversed
on the radio-ams bands. (At least in France) I don't count people doing CB

for "utility purposes" (Traffic info), but only those with a base station.

: While licensing requirements certainly help, it is not going to prevent
: someone who has no respect for the license in the first place and just
: wants to create havoc from getting a radio and getting on the air.

Two-meters band was *relatively* protected up to now, but it seems to be increasingly polluted by "pirates". UHF seems to be still untouched. I wonder something. If it was mandatory to show the ham-licence when buying some equipment, wouldn't it eliminate some problems by avoiding jerks to buy transceivers ?

: As far as new licensees joining the ranks, they must be trained in the
: established procedures and taught by example. Ignoring, degrading, or
: criticizing them will not help. I think we can be much more successful by
: welcoming, encouraging, and mentoring them. As for the malicious
: troublemakers, I don't have a solution.

Well, I am new into radio-ham (passed my exam one week ago, still waiting for my callsign), what shall I do ? In some French magazine, a famous national ham figure (F6EEM) replied that "Regardless of the French amateur reputation, it was maybe better to have hamers better skilled in technics than in QSOs instead of the contrary..." (Megahertz magazine)

As far as I know, it's still better to have both :-)

73,
Vince.

--

PSG Vainqueurs de la coupe de France 1982, 1983, 1993

PSG Champions de France 1985/86 1/2 Finaliste C3: 1993

PSG PARIS SAINT GERMAIN FC --- NOTRE HISTOIRE DEVIENDRA LEGENDE.

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #523

